COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY CABINET FOR HEALTH AND FAMILY SERVICES DEPARTMENT FOR MEDICAID SERVICES

IN RE: CONSUMER RIGHTS AND CLIENT NEEDS TECHNICAL ADVISORY COUNCIL

-<u>------</u>

August 20, 2019
1:30 P.M.
Cabinet for Health and Family Services
Medicaid Commissioner's Conference Room
275 East Main Street
Frankfort, Kentucky 40601

APPEARANCES

Emily Beauregard CHAIR

Miranda Brown Arthur Campbell TAC MEMBERS

CAPITAL CITY COURT REPORTING

TERRI H. PELOSI, COURT REPORTER 900 CHESTNUT DRIVE FRANKFORT, KENTUCKY 40601 (502) 223-1118

<u>APPEARANCES</u> (Continued)

David Gray
Sharley Hughes
Teresa Shields
DEPARTMENT FOR MEDICAID
SERVICES

Jenny Devine Alli Kaufman DELOITTE

Camille Collins
PROTECTION & ADVOCACY
SERVICES DIVISION

Christi Atkinson AETNA BETTER HEALTH

Dana Moody Cheryl Hall PASSPORT HEALTH PLAN

Whitney Allen UNITED HEALTHCARE

LeAnn Magre WELLCARE

Andrea Jarvis ANTHEM

Patty Dempsey THE ARC OF KY

Jason Dunn KENTUCKY VOICES FOR HEALTH

Steve Shannon KAPP

Johnny Callebs
THE COLUMBUS ORGANIZATION

Shatonya Woods PERSONAL ATTENDANT FOR ARTHUR CAMPBELL

AGENDA

- 1. Welcome and Introductions TAC Chair
- 2. Approval of Minutes TAC Members
- 3. Medicaid "Free Care" Rule DMS Staff
 - * What is status of the SPA?
 - * Are there current opportunities for stakeholders to be involved in the planning and implementation?
 - * What communications have or will schools, providers and Medicaid families receive? Please share copies of anything that has already been disseminated
- 4. Kentucky HEALTH DMS Staff
 - * What is the status of outreach and enrollment?
 - * When will the current regulation be amended to eliminate language that could be used as a disenrollment penalty?
 - * What other changes are being planned/discussed?
- 5. Mandatory copays TAC members and DMS staff
 - * Discussion related to reported copay issues:
 - What is the status of changes to KyHealthNet/ MMIS screen?
 - Are any additional communications planned to educate providers on screen changes?
 - Are any additional communications planned to educate providers/recipients on collection and turn-away policies based on income?
- 6. 1915(c) Waivers: re: Stakeholder engagement and rate study DMS Staff
 - * What are the next steps for redesign?
 - * What is the status of the rate study?
 - * Is there an update on transparency related to the advisory councils?
- 7. ADA guidelines related to making accommodations for disabled individuals to participate in TAC and/or MAC meetings - TAC members and DMS staff
 - * What is the status of DMS and P&A legal opinions related to making accommodations for personal assistance, transportation and interpretation services?
- 3. Recommendations for the September 26th MAC meeting
- 9. Adjournment

1 MS. BEAUREGARD: We can call 2 the meeting to order now. Sharley is still passing around a sign-in sheet. If you haven't had a chance 3 to sign in yet, make sure you do, and please put 4 5 your email address on the sign-in sheet. I'd like 6 to make sure that we have contact information for 7 everyone who has been regularly attending these 8 meetings. There are some materials at the end of 9 the table if you haven't picked those up yet. 10 So, let's do quick introductions. 11 (INTRODUCTIONS) 12 13 MS. BEAUREGARD: Welcome, 14 everyone. We have a quorum today, so, that's exciting, and that means that we can approve minutes 15 16 from last meeting. Arthur, I know you weren't able 17 to attend the last meeting but if you've had a chance to look over the minutes. 18 19 MR. CAMPBELL: I read them. 20 MS. BEAUREGARD: Great. Does 21 anyone want to make a motion to approve the minutes? 22 MS. BROWN: I can make a 23 motion. I make a motion.

MS. BEAUREGARD:

Thank you.

24

25

second?

1	MR. CAMPBELL: Aye.
2	MS. BEAUREGARD: All in favor,
3	say aye. Any opposed? All right. So, the minutes
4	have been approved from our June meeting.
5	MS. HUGHES: Since we have KI-
6	HIPP staff here, could we let them go first and,
7	then, they can leave?
8	MS. BEAUREGARD: Sure. We can
9	do that. So, we'll go to Item 4 on the agenda.
10	MS. SHIELDS: Status of
11	outreach and enrollment. We have 129 enrolled
12	persons as of this morning.
13	Our outreach, we have
14	outreached to about 35,000 this month and, then, we
15	will have another outreach of about the same number
16	in September.
17	The regulation is at the
18	Commissioner to sign and, then, it goes through -
19	and I'm not a regulation person, so, I'm sorry - an
20	OLRA.
21	MS. HUGHES: It goes to our
22	Office of Legal Services for them to review and,
23	then, for the Secretary to sign and submit to the
24	LRC.

MS. BEAUREGARD: So, is the

1	language currently revised, then?
2	MS. SHIELDS: Yes, ma'am.
3	MS. BEAUREGARD: Okay. We
4	haven't seen the revision.
5	MS. HUGHES: It hasn't been
6	filed yet.
7	MS. BEAUREGARD: It hasn't
8	been filed.
9	MS. HUGHES: No.
10	MS. BEAUREGARD: So, you're
11	saying it's that the revision is being reviewed and
12	will be filed and, then, it will be open again.
13	MS. HUGHES: Yes.
14	MS. BEAUREGARD: I got you. I
15	thought you meant that was going to be the final
16	regulation and it would be closed. So, it's in
17	process of being filed.
18	MS. SHIELDS: Yes, ma'am.
19	MS. BEAUREGARD: Great. Thank
20	you.
21	MS. SHIELDS: Sorry about
22	that.
23	MS. BEAUREGARD: No. That's
24	perfectly fine.
25	MS. SHIELDS: What other

changes are being planned or discussed? Right now, it is just our big outreach to get the word out to everyone about the program, to be able to provide those persons speaking about the program with the correct information.

We have the one enhancement that went into effect this month - direct deposit - and, then, we will also be reaching out to other populations. We are working with employers, especially the State Personnel Cabinet to get word out to state employees. We are looking at open enrollment periods for employers, so, just a lot of outreach right now.

MS. HUGHES: Last week we put several documents geared just for employers out on the KI-HIPP website. I think there's five or six documents and a video, if I'm not mistaken, that is geared just for the employer to share with employees that might qualify for KI-HIPP.

MS. BEAUREGARD: Thank you.

And I wanted to also just take the opportunity to thank you all, Teresa and other DMS staff for making some changes and talking with us about some of the concerns that we had and questions.

We still have some concerns

but we do think the materials are more clear than the original version that we saw. I feel like people will have a better idea of what the program is and what their responsibilities would be if they enrolled in the program.

We have updated our Explainer and, so, I brought that. Teresa, you can have a copy.

MS. HUGHES: And I would like to point out that this document that is being shared is not a DMS document.

MS. BEAUREGARD: That's correct and we have our logo on it, so, hopefully that's clear. I just am sharing it because there's interest in it and I wanted you all to see the changes that we had made from the first version.

Now that we have more information, we were able to make it more clear as well.

We still have some open questions for CMS and for DOI. Most of our questions are related to cost-sharing requirements. There's in the Medicaid Program a 5% max on out-of-pocket spending. So, that's something that we're going to ask CMS about specifically.

And, then, with DOI, whenever

you typically think of qualifying events that would qualify you to enroll when you're outside of an open enrollment period, for instance, KI-HIPP does create a qualifying event for someone to enroll in their employer insurance when it's outside of the open enrollment period.

But DOI is saying that if you were to lose KI-HIPP and for some reason be disenrolled or lose your Medicaid eligibility, that may not create another qualifying event for you to leave your employer insurance.

And we want to make sure that people who are low income aren't stuck with these large premium payments that they can't afford, so, trying to get some clarification from DOI on that. I know that's a DOI policy and not Medicaid. So, that's some work that we are doing but we have appreciated DMS being willing to talk with us and answer some questions and that's been very helpful. So, thank you.

MS. SHIELDS: You're welcome. We did have a brief meeting with DOI today and asked them again, and they said that as far as someone who is enrolled and, then, they lose disenrollment in the KI-HIPP program, that it's not really their

1 policy but they don't govern employers. 2 would be up to the employer, but, again, feel free 3 to reach out to them but that's just what they told us this morning. 4 5 MS. BEAUREGARD: So, with the 6 employer plans, that's outside of DOI's purview is 7 what you're saying? 8 MS. SHIELDS: Yes, ma'am. 9 That's what they told us this morning because we 10 went back to get clarification. 11 MS. BEAUREGARD: Okay. 12 and it may be a federal rule. So, we may have to 13 look at the federal rules regarding that, too. 14 MR. GRAY: Somewhere in there, ERISA comes into play. So, DOI would not have 15 16 jurisdiction over ERISA plans which the majority of 17 the employers in the State of Kentucky are big enough to where they've got ERISA plans and not 18 19 subject to the Department of Insurance. 20 And, yes, the federal 21 government comes into play with regard to ERISA. 22 You've got COBRA and all those areas, acronyms that impact that piece of it. 23

24

1 qualifying event when you back out the other way. 2 MR. SHANNON: It's kind of 3 weird. It is going in but not coming out. MS. BEAUREGARD: Yes. You 4 5 would think that it would be reciprocal or that 6 whatever creates a qualifying event, the opposite of 7 that would create another qualifying event, and 8 that's sort of always been our assumption. 9 MS. HUGHES: Well, just as a 10 guess, I'm wondering if it's because of the fact that Medicaid is always the payor of last resort, 11 12 so, therefore, if someone has access to insurance. 13 I mean, that's just a guess. I don't know that. 14 MR. SHANNON: If they lose the KI-HIPP, do they become Medicaid eligible? 15 16 MS. HUGHES: KI-HIPP is 17 Medicaid eliqible. 18 MR. SHANNON: Right. 19 MS. BEAUREGARD: Well, so, you 20 would have Medicaid but you would still be 21 potentially paying that employee premium. 22 MS. HUGHES: Two things can 23 happen if you come out of KI-HIPP. You've either 24 lost your employer-sponsored insurance. In that

case, you're going to come back into Medicaid. But

if you increased your salary to the point where you no longer qualify for Medicaid, then, you're going to come out of KI-HIPP and Medicaid.

MR. SHANNON: Right.

MS. BEAUREGARD: And you hope that someone can continue to afford that premium and stay insured; but depending on what that cost is and what their salary is, that may be unaffordable and you don't want people to be stuck with especially if it's for a family premium. It could be hundreds of dollars a month. So, that's a concern of ours.

And, then, we want to make sure people know that if it's more than 10% of their payment or of their income, I should say, then, they may also be able to enroll instead on the Exchange because the marketplace, for someone who is just above 138% of the Federal Poverty Level, you're almost always going to have a better deal enrolling in a marketplace plan where you get subsidies and premium tax credits.

 $$\operatorname{MS.}$$ HUGHES: Depending on what your employer benefits are.

 $\label{eq:ms.beauregard:} {\tt MS.\ BEAUREGARD:} \ \ {\tt It\ depends}$ but almost always.

MS. BROWN: Are you saying

1 that KI-HIPP could be used for just a marketplace 2 premium? 3 MS. HUGHES: No. MS. BEAUREGARD: I'm saying 4 5 that you don't want the employee who is no longer 6 Medicaid eligible to be stuck in an employer plan 7 that is so expensive and doesn't offer any of the 8 cost-sharing assistance that you get in a 9 marketplace plan when you're low income because 10 you're not going to be getting that out-of-pocket assistance. 11 12 So, some of that is education 13 and some of it may be federal rules or DOI. 14 we'll just look into that. Was there anything else about 15 16 KI-HIPP, any other questions? Do you have any, Miranda? 17 18 MS. BROWN: Not right now. 19 MS. BEAUREGARD: And, Teresa, 20 was there anything else you wanted to share? 21 MS. SHIELDS: No, ma'am, not 22 today. Like I said, just a lot of outreach. At the 23 same time we put the employer information out there,

the updated handbook and so forth is out there also,

the most recent approval.

24

1	MS. HUGHES: And they have a
2	booth at the fair.
3	MS. SHIELDS: Yes, we do.
4	Anybody have anything for me?
5	MS. BEAUREGARD: I don't think
6	we have any other questions. Thank you. Appreciate
7	it.
8	Sharley, are you going to be
9	responding to our other questions, the rest of the
10	information?
11	MS. HUGHES: Yes. We're kind
12	of short-staffed today. It seems like about every
13	day, we're short-staffed.
14	MS. BEAUREGARD: Why don't we
15	finish our Kentucky HEALTH-related questions and
16	then we'll go back up to the Free Care.
17	So, the SUD expansion, do you
18	have any update on implementation?
19	MS. HUGHES: Implementation is
20	now complete. They're just working withI mean,
21	because it went into effect July 1. They're still
22	working answering questions and so forth as they
23	have come up.
24	I'm not aware of changes being
25	made to the regulations. I haven't heard any of the

substance use folks over in Jonathan's office discussing reg changes but I try not to listen too much to what he talks about in his office. So, so far, I mean, I've not heard of any kind of issues with it.

MS. BEAUREGARD: Okay. I had heard that from someone but I haven't had a chance to really look into what specific concerns that they had beforehand. So, you don't know of any changes being made?

MS. HUGHES: No.

MS. BEAUREGARD: Okay. I'll

just follow up with that.

Does anybody else have questions about substance use disorder and the new services available or the expansion?

Any other waiver-related

updates?

MS. HUGHES: The 1115 Waiver, there's a hearing in October, maybe the 11th or 12th, something around that date. The anticipation is that however the Judge rules, the other party is probably going to appeal it. So, right now we're not anticipating really anything being done until probably July of next year.

1	MS. BEAUREGARD: All right.
2	Thank you. Any other questions related to the
3	Kentucky HEALTH Waiver?
4	Let's go back up to the
5	Medicaid Free Care Rule. I know that originally I
6	think Lee Guice was anticipating that the SPA would
7	be approved by the end of July.
8	MS. HUGHES: They have issued
9	a Request for Additional Information and we're
10	working with CMS continuing to get that approved.
11	There has to be some study done with KDE, the
12	Kentucky Department of Education, in order to be
13	able to actually I think complete it.
14	MS. BEAUREGARD: Is it for
15	billing purposes, related to billing?
16	MS. HUGHES: It's some kind of
17	time study type thing that they have to do.
18	Honestly and truly, I'm not familiar with what it
19	is.
20	MR. DUNN: Is it a Random
21	Moment Time Study?
22	MS. HUGHES: That's it. I
23	knew it was something time study. So, we're working
24	with that.
25	MS. BEAUREGARD: Do you know

1 about how long that might take? 2 MS. HUGHES: No. 3 MS. BEAUREGARD: Okay. So, for now, the implementation, it's not happening, I 4 5 guess, for the school year? 6 MS. HUGHES: No. It's 7 continuing. It will be effective as of July 1 when 8 they go--or August 1st I think was the date we put 9 on it. So, we're moving forward as if it was 10 implemented. So, schools 11 MS. BEAUREGARD: 12 can bill now for free care? 13 MS. HUGHES: Well, if they're 14 up and running. I don't know how many schools are currently taking advantage of this at this point in 15 16 time. I've not been involved in those calls. 17 I know that the Deputy Secretary and Lee and I think some other folks, 18 19 possibly the Commissioner have attended various 20 association meetings throughout the summer such as 21 the Kentucky School Boards Association, some of the 22 nursing associations and some of those talking about 23 the program. 24 I think it's voluntary at this

point for the schools to implement it. So, if they

want to, they can. KDE will be doing a lot of outreach to the schools. We're working closely with KDE. David, have you been involved in any of those meetings?

MR. GRAY: The only thing I would say is as it relates to the SPA, that goes on a quarterly basis. And I know there are people in this room that are a lot smarter about SPA's than I am.

So, really, as a school, you're kind of taking a little bit of a leap of faith, assuming that the SPA would be approved on or before September 30th which would then make it effective back to the beginning of that quarter of July 1st. That's kind of how they operate SPA's.

MS. HUGHES: That's when you can request the effective date. Even if it's not approved----

MR. GRAY: But they pause them also. That's the other thing they do.

MS. HUGHES: And that's what they've done now is they've paused them. That's my other part of my job. Even if it's not approved until, say, January, it can still go back to July 1 because we submitted it during this quarter.

ı	
1	MS. BEAUREGARD: I think
2	you're right about that. I mean, I know it can
3	redrafted.
4	MS. HUGHES: Yes.
5	MS. BEAUREGARD: I think
6	that's correct. I was curious if schools had been
7	given guidance by DMS to wait or to go forward.
8	MR. GRAY: I mean, they're
9	taking a little bit of a leap of faith.
10	MS. BEAUREGARD: There's a
11	risk.
12	MR. GRAY: There's a little
13	bit of a risk.
14	MS. HUGHES: There's a risk.
15	MS. BEAUREGARD: Because there
16	can be a clawback.
17	MR. DUNN: Or does this
18	additional information with the Random Moment Time
19	Study alleviate some of that risk for early
20	adopters?
21	MS. HUGHES: I can't answer
22	that. I think anytime that you do something
23	MR. DUNN: I mean, that may be
24	one of the reasons why you want to
25	MS. HUGHES: We do not

anticipate there being an issue with the SPA being approved. So, I don't anticipate it being a risk.

Now, whether or not schools go forward, from what I know is that technically the services—if the SPA is approved, even like in November, they're not going to be at any kind of a risk at all because of the fact that they don't come and audit us for federal match for I think it's thirty days following the end of the quarter.

So, it's sometime like in November is when they would come down and actually say, well, you're providing these services and your SPA is not approved.

So, we've still got some time and we don't anticipate that the SPA will not be approved.

MS. BEAUREGARD: I wouldn't anticipate that either just because I think it's something that CMS will be eager to approve, but as far as delays, we wanted to make sure that schools are providing adequate information for billing so that they know that they can apply that.

That would be my only concerns is schools are adequately documenting, but we're excited that schools can start to expand services.

1 I think for us, we want to make sure schools are 2 billing and documenting correctly and that everyone 3 is aware of what services are being provided. MS. HUGHES: Well, and KDE is 4 5 actually leading that charge to make sure that their 6 schools and stuff are doing things correctly. 7 MS. BEAUREGARD: Have they 8 already started doing free care screenings? 9 MS. HUGHES: That I don't 10 know. MS. BEAUREGARD: The last 11 meeting that we were at, there was some talk of that 12 13 but it didn't sound like it had started yet. 14 And in talking with some federally qualified health centers and community 15 16 mental health centers, I think that many are unaware 17 of what's happening. And since they're providers that are often already in schools providing 18 19 services, it would be great if there was a little 20 bit more communication directly to those service 21 providers about what is happening. 22 MS. HUGHES: Well, we're kind 23 of in a Catch 22 of doing services before the SPA is

24

25

approved.

only because I'm aware. Cumberland Family Health out of Russell Springs which is in a number of schools is very much aware of this program only because they told me they are.

MS. BEAUREGARD: I told them.

MR. GRAY: Okay, but they're

being pretty, I think, as they have been - and that's only one federally qualified health center.

MS. BEAUREGARD: Because I used to work with the FQHC's, I have been reaching out to some that have large school health just so that they can be communicating with the schools that they're working with.

MS. HUGHES: I think with that and KI-HIPP, I don't think there's been a lot of lack of communication because it's two good initiatives that are putting positive things out for the members.

I know the Deputy Secretary has been, because this is kind of near and dear to her heart, that she has been out attending a lot of meetings and talking about it, too. So, I think there's a lot of stuff getting out.

 $\label{eq:ms.BEAUREGARD:} \mbox{I know that}$ the superintendents have received a letter but I

1 wasn't aware of any other service providers receiving either that kind of communication and I 2 3 didn't see them at the meetings with Lee Guice. We had some good meetings to 4 5 bring stakeholders around the table but they were 6 not represented. And, so, I was just thinking that 7 having some more health care providers would be good 8 to start incorporating. I had asked if we could get copies of communications. 10 MS. HUGHES: I'm not aware of 11 12 any. Lee is not here this week. So, I'm not real 13 sure if there's any, especially from DMS. I think probably the communications are probably going out 14 from KDE to the school systems and so forth. 15 16 MS. BEAUREGARD: That might be 17 the case but I do think DMS may have sent a letter to superintendents. 18 19 MR. GRAY: It was a joint 20 letter that went out from the Commissioner of Medicaid and the Commissioner for Education. It was 21 22 a joint letter that went out in July, I believe. 23 MS. BEAUREGARD: David, do you

think we could get a copy?

9

24

25

MR. GRAY: Sharley, I'd have

to defer to you on that. I haven't seen the letter. 1 2 MS. HUGHES: I've not seen it. 3 MS. BEAUREGARD: I'll ask Lee about it. 4 5 MR. GRAY: Okay. If I had a 6 copy, I'd be more than happy to give it to you but I 7 don't have a copy. 8 MS. BEAUREGARD: Thank you. 9 Any other questions for Sharley about the Free Care 10 Rule, the status? We think that it's a very good thing. I just want to make sure that we understand 11 12 where things are in the process and who knows what 13 so that we can be communicating about it. And we 14 actually have Lee Guice coming to our annual meeting on October 11th. So, that will be great. 15 16 I think we are on Item Number 17 5, the mandatory copays. And, I know, David, you and I actually discussed the screens recently. 18 19 So, it sounds like there has 20 been a small change to the screens where if you want 21 to find out if someone is above or below the Federal 22 Poverty Level, that's been rewritten, right? 23 MR. GRAY: You hover over it. 24 MS. BEAUREGARD: That's right,

the hover over. Thank you. That's the part I was

forgetting. And, then, there's a hover over with more information. So, I don't know if anybody in this room who works with providers has heard of anything changing or if you've been able to see that screen change.

That's not something that we see directly but David was able to show me some examples and talk through it which is definitely, I think, more clear than it was originally. So, thank you for working on those changes.

MR. GRAY: I think at this point, as Emily and I discussed, from the provider perspective, there are things within the MMIS or the DXC, which is the company that provides the backbone for this, if there are things within the KyHealth.Net screens that you find not helpful, not placed in the right places or such, there are some limitations.

I will say we are dealing with source code that's got age on it, and, so, there are some limitations with regard to our MMIS system in terms of what we can and cannot do and I think we're fast approaching the limits of what it can do, and the State will be looking to replace that but that's a big lift and it probably won't happen in the next

three to five years, I would think. And I think we've been talking about doing that for the last three to five years, so, to kind of give you some understanding. Anytime you replace the billing system, it's daunting. It's daunting.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ SHANNON: And it's scary for the provider.

MR. GRAY: Well, it's scary for everybody but we are dealing with older technology. So, we need to modernize, but if there are things that you find and is kind of a trend with regard to the current screens, again, take a look at the current system. Don't judge it based on what it looked like six months ago or nine months ago and please let us know.

If you want to funnel those through Jason or Emily, get those to me and we'll see what we can and cannot do.

MR. SHANNON: What did this thing address, the hovering thing?

MR. GRAY: Well, in the past, it pretty much said FPL yes or no. That's all you had. And, so, now, there's a whole discussion, a Federal Poverty Level explanation and kind of the implications of you go ahead and provide care. You

can choose not to provide care, depending upon where you are on that question. So, there's a lot more detail on that.

 $\label{eq:ms.beauregard: Yeah, it had} $$ been P-O-B underscore I-N-B or I-N-$

MR. DUNN: POBIND.

MS. BEAUREGARD: Indicator, yeah, IND, and, of course, nobody really could crack that code. Above FPL I think is what it says now, something like that, and that is more clear. I think there could be ways to make it even more clear but this is definitely a big improvement and the hover over is helpful, too. I still question whether all providers are reading that and really looking for it.

So, my follow-up question is going to be, I know last November before this policy went into effect, there was a useful handout for providers that was created by DMS and one for consumers, and I wondered if that had been updated because there were some screen shots on it, and to have that so we could re-circulate it I think would be really helpful. I know there was one letter that went out to pharmacists but I wasn't sure if any new sort of communications about the copays has gone out

to providers.

MR. GRAY: I don't think so.

I don't think so, but if there's something you can
provide to me with regard to what we've done in the
past, send that to me and we'll see if that's
something that would be beneficial.

MS. BEAUREGARD: That would be good. I really think it's as simple as some updated screen shots and maybe just updating a little language but I don't see any significant changes but that would be a good thing to re-circulate.

MR. GRAY: And I think if we would tie that in maybe at open enrollment, that may be a good time, the timing of this, because we're almost into September. Open enrollment is primarily in the month of November and drags a little bit into December, but that may be a better time because people are just kind of accustomed to getting communications from us at that time of the year.

MS. BEAUREGARD: That would be helpful.

MR. GRAY: Okay.

MS. BEAUREGARD: And I think
Brian Beth who is working with clients has still
been hearing some issues. Stephanie Bates has said

that that's something that we can communicate to her but maybe we'll start to communicate with both of you.

MR. GRAY: That will be fine, but certainly Stephanie because she interfaces directly with the MCOs.

MS. HUGHES: And what we've told the Behavioral Health TAC also is is that it would help if you all actually referred the member to the MCO or to DMS or provided us with information of what providers are not following because we can certainly at that point have the MCOs reach out to those providers.

I've had several instances where people have contacted me or contacted their legislator or the Governor's Office or our Ombudsman's Office and have said I went to my doctor and they made me pay copays. So, we have the MCO reach out and tell that provider here's the rules and so forth.

But without actually knowing—we can send out communications every day, and if somebody doesn't read it or they don't give it to their staff and, then, for us to just be told doctors are still charging copays, we can't do

anything. We can call up a doctor's office and say you're breaking the rules and, again, here's where you look.

MS. BEAUREGARD: I'm glad you brought that up because Miranda and I were just talking before the meeting about changing--we've been asking people to report if they've had a copay issue but we haven't asked, unless we follow up with them and they respond to us, we haven't asked up front can you tell us who the provider is and we're going to change it to ask for that because I do think that would be really helpful.

Did you have any that you wanted to share specifically?

MS. BROWN: I just have a woman in Taylor County who has had several providers charge her copays when she said she couldn't afford to pay them. I have the list. I was going to email it to Stephanie and I can email it to David as well.

MS. HUGHES: I mean, you can email them to David, but just out of----

MS. BEAUREGARD: Do you want to be copied on it, too, Sharley?

MS. HUGHES: No. I mean, I'm just thinking HIPAA of trying not to send it to a

1 bunch of different people. If you would just send 2 it to Stephanie. 3 MR. GRAY: I think send it to 4 her. 5 MS. HUGHES: That way you're 6 not risking a lot of HIPAA information getting out 7 to various people. And I'm certainly not trying to 8 imply that David is not good at following HIPAA. 9 MR. GRAY: If they're 10 associated with Taylor Regional Hospital, I'm not saying they are or they're not, they just employ a 11 12 lot of physicians in that community, I'd be more 13 than happy to take a phone call. 14 MS. BROWN: Some of them are. MR. GRAY: So, if it involves 15 16 Taylor Regional Hospital, Emily, you've got my cell 17 phone number, give me a call and we can talk about it. 18 19 MS. BEAUREGARD: Have you 20 already heard of issues with them? 21 MR. GRAY: No, but I just know 22 that they employ a lot of the physicians in 23 Campbellsville, Kentucky and are employed and I've got a relationship there. So, if it involves Taylor 24

Regional Hospital, I'll be more than happy to try to

1 assist with those. 2 MS. BROWN: Okay. Thank you. 3 MR. GRAY: I'm not taking ownership of the chiropractors or the dentists or 4 5 anybody else. MS. BEAUREGARD: 6 We can 7 communicate about the providers without 8 communicating patient information. We understand 9 HIPAA rules. MR. GRAY: But send those to 10 Stephanie, but as it relates to Taylor Regional, if 11 12 somebody will give me a call, we'll kind of talk offline about that. 13 14 MS. BROWN: Okay. Thank you. MS. BEAUREGARD: And something 15 16 I didn't put specifically on this section but is 17 related to copays, Stephanie Bates did send us some additional information about copays for the 1915(c) 18 19 waivers. 20 I think there is a little bit 21 of confusion still about exactly what the copays are 22 for different services and when the mandatory copays

sounds like there also haven't been problems. Like,

Camille and I talked earlier and people have been

under this particular regulation apply, but it

23

24

reporting issues.

MS. COLLINS: There might be problems but they're not calling us with those problems and we get a lot of calls from folks on those type of issues normally.

And, so, we did analyze the information that Stephanie gave us and we understand it a little bit better and looking at State Plan services when copays are applied and when they're not if they're being billed through the 1915(c) Medicaid waiver piece.

So, I think we get it better and we're not hearing any complaints. That doesn't mean there aren't issues but they're not from us.

MS. BEAUREGARD: So, unless anybody else in the room has heard of anything or has questions about that, we don't have any at this point. So, that is all on mandatory copays.

I had added something to the agenda just because Miranda and I were talking about it ahead of time which is related to non-emergency medical transportation.

So, if you don't have the answer to it at the moment, it's fine, if we can just get an answer eventually but do you want to ask

your question?

MS. BROWN: Well, it's related to if someone needs non-emergency medical transportation like from Taylor County up to Lexington to see a specialist, I assume--I don't work with a lot of people who tell me they use an EMT but I assume that they would be able to do that.

MS. HUGHES: Ninety-nine percent positive yes. The answer is yes, that we would provide it.

MS. BROWN: And, then, would an exception be made because I know that generally, you can't use any EMT if you have a vehicle. So, she has a vehicle but the cost of gas to drive that far is prohibitive.

 $$\operatorname{MS.}$$ HUGHES: If she has a vehicle, I'm 99% positive we would not provide an EMT.

MS. BROWN: So, there's not a way to make an exception for something like that?

MS. HUGHES: No. I think

that's actually in the reg. I don't work with any

EMT that often myself but I've actually received

constituent emails coming in that people have a

vehicle in their name and the vehicle does not work.

They have to provide a statement from a mechanic that the vehicle is not in operational order before we would ever provide them any EMT. So, I think if they have a vehicle, that disqualifies them for receiving any EMT services.

MS. BEAUREGARD: Unless we get an exception. So, since there is an exception for, you know, if a mechanic were to say that it wasn't in working order, they don't have reliable transportation—well, I think we should probably just look really closely at the EMT regulation first, but if there's a way to have an exception or an exemption process in place for those circumstances, I think that would be something we might want to come back with at another meeting.

MR. SHANNON: And kind of related to that, and I've heard this, that people live in a personal care home. They go to a hospital. They get discharged from the hospital. They're going back to the personal care home, some physical problem they had. There's no transportation back. So, they can't use emergency medical transportation to go back to the personal care home.

MS. BEAUREGARD: Is that

something that you have any documentation on?

MR. SHANNON: I will ask the

folks. I raised transportation at some meeting and someone said this. I'll ask the person specifically where that happened but it just seems kind of interesting that you can get to the hospital but I'll have a bike downstairs for you.

MR. GRAY: A lot of times, that's when the hospital will get out the voucher from a charity fund or something to pay for the taxi ride home.

MS. BEAUREGARD: It looks like we might just need to look into that regulation more and, then, Sharley, we may have some follow-up questions for you.

MS. HUGHES: Okay.

MR. DUNN: And on that

subject, for those interested, Medicaid transportation is a subject of the Program Review and Investigation Committee on Friday morning if people want to go to that. It's an early one. It's eight o'clock, but it's one of two agenda items on their plate for Friday morning.

MS. BEAUREGARD: Jason is planning to be there bright and early. KET doesn't

1	stream these meetings now in the interim.
2	MS. HUGHES: Some of them they
3	do. They did the Health and Welfare yesterday.
4	MR. DUNN: Sometimes they do.
5	MS. BEAUREGARD: It's just not
6	always consistent. I think you have to ask ahead of
7	time maybe, too. Did you have something to say,
8	Arthur?
9	MR. CAMPBELL (By Interpreter):
10	So, what if you are in a wheelchair and you don't
11	have the EMT transport and you have a car but it
12	won't transport, like, a motorized wheelchair. So,
13	then, would EMT be able to transport if the vehicle
14	that they had at their house was not accessible?
15	MS. HUGHES: I don't know
16	that.
17	MS. BEAUREGARD: Or really
18	just any condition, I guess, in relation to a car or
19	your vehicle isn't accessible. Sharley, if that's
20	something that you can just look into, I think that
21	would go along with some of the other potential
22	exceptions.
23	MS. HUGHES: All right.
24	MS. BEAUREGARD: So, yes, you

could have a health condition that would make it

1 impossible to use your vehicle. 2 MR. CAMPBELL: Yes. 3 MS. BEAUREGARD: Good question. So, anything else about EMT before we 4 5 mve on? 6 The next item on the agenda is 7 related to 1915(c) waivers and the stakeholder 8 engagement. I have just been putting this on the 9 agenda for updates. 10 MS. HUGHES: So, the update, what are the next steps of redesign? 11 finalizing the new regulations to be submitted to 12 13 LRC and will be submitting the waivers to CMS for 14 review and approval. 15 The status of the rate study, 16 the rate study analysis and fiscal impact are 17 continuing. The proposed new rate structure will be presented to the Executive Committee this month. 18 19 don't know if that meant--I can't remember when she 20 sent me this, if that means August or September. 21 Is there any update on 22 transparency? Additional information is being 23 provided to Legal and they're evaluating it and

MS. BEAUREGARD: Legal, like

we're waiting for their guidance.

24

1	the Cabinet's Legal is evaluating it?
2	MS. HUGHES: Yes.
3	MS. BEAUREGARD: Any response
4	to that or questions?
5	MS. COLLINS: Just that they
6	referred it to Legal like months and months and
7	months ago. So, I don't know if they need to refer
8	it to the AG's Office or somebody else may want to
9	look at the referral to the AG's Office.
10	MS. BEAUREGARD: Who would do
11	that referral?
12	MS. COLLINS: I mean, anyone I
13	think could do that referral.
14	MS. BEAUREGARD: Is P&A still
15	reviewing it as well?
16	MS. COLLINS: Well, we
17	reviewed it. It's our opinion that those meetings
18	are open.
19	MS. BEAUREGARD: Okay. So,
20	there's nothing outstanding on your side.
21	MS. COLLINS: Not from us.
22	When we first brought it, we looked at it before we
23	talked about it in here and it was our thought that
24	those were open meetings and, then, Medicaid
25	disagreed and, then, we asked for that opinion in

1	writing. So, we've been waiting for that ever
2	since. I can't remember, Sharley, how long that has
3	been.
4	MS. HUGHES: I'm not sure.
5	MS. BEAUREGARD: But there's
6	been no progress.
7	MS. BEAUREGARD: Is that
8	something that P&A would refer to the AG on this?
9	MS. COLLINS: Possibly if
10	Arthur wants to make that referral. If we have a
11	client or someone who wants to make a referral
12	questioning whether the Navigant meetings, the
13	panels are open meetings.
14	MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah.
15	MS. COLLINS: So, I can look
16	at that.
17	MR. SHANNON: The rate study
18	group meets tomorrow. So, they're going to present
19	it to the Executive Committee. Hopefully tomorrow
20	we'll hear something.
21	MS. BEAUREGARD: So, it is
22	this month that they've having
23	MR. SHANNON: They meet
24	tomorrow, the rate study group.
25	MS. HUGHES: The rate study

1	committee may meet tomorrow but I don't know that
2	they're meeting with the Executive Committee
3	tomorrow.
4	MR. SHANNON: No. I'm saying
5	but you would think the rate study group gets to see
6	the information or some sense of it before the
7	Executive Committee would get it.
8	MS. COLLINS: I think there
9	are two issues. They're looking at that separately
10	from all the other Navigant documents.
11	MS. BEAUREGARD: So, Camille
12	and Arthur might discuss that.
13	MS. COLLINS: I'll discuss it
14	with Arthur and we'll meet with our office but
15	anybody can make that request.
16	MS. BEAUREGARD: Okay. I
17	don't really understand the process, but with the
18	rate study, since the rates are going to be proposed
19	tomorrow
20	MR. SHANNON: We don't know
21	that.
22	MS. HUGHES: I don't know that
23	the rates are being proposed.
24	MR. SHANNON: There is a rate
25	study meeting tomorrow and if the Executive

1	Committee isn't going to see it at this one but the
2	next one, you would hope the rate study work group
3	gets the first look at them before they go on.
4	MS. BEAUREGARD: Yes. Okay.
5	I understand that now. So, once they have been
6	proposed to the Executive Committee, at some point
7	when they're available, can those be shared here
8	with the Consumer TAC after they've been proposed to
9	the Executive Committee?
10	MS. HUGHES: That would be up
11	to somebody beyond me.
12	MS. BEAUREGARD: I guess I'm
13	just really asking when the public would get that
14	information and, then, the regs are going to be
15	filed soon. Is that right?
16	MS. HUGHES: Yes. They're
17	working on them now. In fact, that was probably the
18	gentleman that knocked on the door and said he was
19	looking for the reg meeting.
20	MS. BEAUREGARD: Well, then,
21	are there any other comments or questions? Do you
22	have something to say, Arthur?
23	MR. CAMPBELL (By Interpreter:)
24	Are we talking about rates of pay?

MS. COLLINS: So, it would be

the reimbursement rates for the services. So, like, with the PDS, like, for your attendant care and how the cap is at eleven twenty-five for those services, they're looking at that rate and every other rate, case management reimbursement rates, therapies if they apply in certain waivers. So, yes, all rates, not rates for actual individuals but rates of services.

MR. CAMPBELL (By Interpreter):

How can the public have input on that?

MS. HUGHES: There's been multiple public comment periods that have been opened for comment. The comment line actually is still open even though the official comment period has ended. Community Alternatives is continuing to take comments from the public even though the public comments have ended.

So, if you have comments, you can certainly send those into - and I don't have the email address. I think it's on a website that you can send in.

MS. COLLINS: The other thing to add is once the regulation that will set those payment rates, then, you will have the opportunity to comment on that particular reg, and I don't think

that's going to be anytime in the near future.

MS. HUGHES: Honestly and

truly, I don't know when the regs will be filed.

MS. COLLINS: Because that

payment reg is separate from the regs that they're

working on now but you will have an opportunity.

MS. HUGHES: Yes. There wil

MS. HUGHES: Yes. There will be opportunities when the regs are filed to again comment, but currently anybody that wants to comment as we're going through the process can certainly make their comments known to us.

We could have, based upon CMS guidelines, closed down the comment period and said we're not taking any more comments but we're continuing to take the comments and they continue to respond to them and so forth.

MS. BEAUREGARD: Right, and right now you're still in the proposed phase. So, once the Executive Committee were to accept or approve rates, you put them in a regulation and, then, there's another public comment period.

MS. HUGHES: Right. Yes. And if I'm not mistaken, once the waiver document is actually submitted to CMS, there may be - don't quote me on that - but there's probably going to be

1 another comment period once the waivers are filed to 2 CMS. 3 MR. GRAY: I think you're 4 right. 5 MR. SHANNON: And the email 6 box is pretty responsive. I don't know it offhand. 7 MS. COLLINS: And I have that 8 email address. 9 MS. BEAUREGARD: And that's 10 just a good reminder because there are multiple steps in the process. So, thank you for explaining 11 12 that. 13 MS. HUGHES: Yes. There's 14 lots and lots of opportunities for comments, but 15 this process they've never stopped accepting 16 comments. 17 MS. BEAUREGARD: That's good Any other questions about that before we 18 to know. 19 It sounds like maybe in the next month or move on? 20 two, we'll have more information, maybe some regs to 21 review. 22 The next item on the agenda is 23 related to ADA guidelines and making accommodations 24 for people with disabilities so that they can

participate the TAC and MAC meetings.

that?

We've had this on the agenda before, but since Arthur is here and we've had some discussion about what kind of recommendation we might want to make through this TAC, I'll turn it over to you.

MS. WOODS: Arthur Campbell is requesting for a policy in writing from DMS and CHFS on paying or providing any appropriate and necessary accommodations for people with disabilities to allow them to fully participate in meetings as a person serving in an advisory capacity.

MS. BEAUREGARD: May I see

MS. WOODS: Yes.

MS. BEAUREGARD: We will make that a formal recommendation and that's actually the next thing on the agenda. So, I just want to make sure that I'm getting this down correctly.

MS. HUGHES: Can I just add to that because at the February meeting when Arthur was there, he was going to get a list of stuff to me that he felt that we should be providing and I have not received that. So, we're kind of waiting on that.

As far as non-TAC members, I

1 don't think we're required to pay for them to 2 attend. We are required to pay for the TAC members 3 but I don't think--I mean, we're required to have an ADA-compliant room and access to the building and so forth which we're doing that. 6 So, I'm not sure what else Arthur is asking for, if he could provide us with more information. MR. CAMPBELL (By Interpreter:) Do I send that to you or who? Who do we send it to? MS. HUGHES: He can send it to 12 You and I had communicated about it and you at 13 one time had told me it was going to be like nine 14 pages long. 15 MR. CAMPBELL (By Interpreter:) 16 I will send that about two pages out of what I wrote 17 up. He's going to send you two pages of what he 18 wrote up. 19 MS. HUGHES: Okay. You send 20 me the pages and we'll work on it for you. 21 MR. CAMPBELL (By Interpreter:) 22 Out of eight pages. 23 MS. COLLINS: And the one

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

24

25

thing I know, like, Arthur is really--he's not - and

you can tell me whatever you want to tell me - but

it's really not about you and getting reimbursed. What he is really trying to achieve is making a systemic policy and to make sure that it's in writing and that people understand who have disabilities that there are resources, that if they're on committees such as the TAC, that there are resources to help them through the ADA so that they can fully participate because we have other TACs like the IDD TAC and the Behavioral Health TAC and people with disabilities are under-represented, and a lot of the folks that I know, they're saying that they can't afford to come to these meetings.

So, Arthur is really just trying to establish a strong policy and awareness of the policy under the ADA so that people are inclined to want to participate in these meetings. I think that's his goal.

MR. SHANNON: And you can take it out of Medicaid and go to 144. They meet regularly and they have several subcommittees that some folks get to and some do not.

MS. BEAUREGARD: Well, and because there's accessibility in terms of physical, is the building physically accessible and I think we can say yes; but when you're talking about having

the assistants, personal assistants and
transportation to get to a meeting that's however
far away and it requires more than just
accessibility---
MS. HUGHES: Well, the video
teleconferencing is now available for him to use.

 $\label{eq:ms.beauregard:} \text{MS. BEAUREGARD:} \quad \text{It is and I}$ was going to ask you about that.

MS. HUGHES: And certainly, as we said before, that we can get translators here, the sign language. We actually have translators onsite that can do those things.

So, it's not that we're not willing to do those things, but as far as for people just to come to attend the meetings, I don't think there's anything in statute that we're required to pay them to come to the meeting. We are required in statute to pay for the TAC members.

MS. BEAUREGARD: Well, we are talking about TAC members. We're talking about members and not to pay the individual for their time as much as to pay for the necessary services to support them to getting here, the expenses.

I think it's worth us making a recommendation today based on what Arthur has

1	written here. And, then, Arthur, if you would
2	follow up with Sharley and copy me, I would
3	appreciate that.
4	MR. CAMPBELL (By Interpreter:)
5	May I have some time right now to ask these people
6	something?
7	MS. HUGHES: Sure.
8	MS. BEAUREGARD: Yes. Please
9	ask your question.
10	MR. CAMPBELL (By Interpreter:)
11	How many of you work and get paid to work with
12	disabled people, right? I'm asking you that.
13	MR. SHANNON: Yes.
14	MR. CAMPBELL (By Interpreter:)
15	Everyone in this room gets paid for working. That
16	is your job, right? How many in this room are
17	disabled? How many?
18	MR. SHANNON: One.
19	MR. CAMPBELL (By Interpreter:)
20	This ain't right. That is why Medicaid and the
21	Cabinet should put some money aside to pay for this
22	support.
23	MS. BEAUREGARD: Right.
24	Regardless of whether it's in statute, and I think
25	that's still questioned, there could still be a

1 decision by Medicaid or it may still be an issue of 2 ADA compliance that there be additional resources to 3 support people. So, I think whether it's ADA, 4 5 statute or----6 MS. HUGHES: And we certainly 7 are not saying we are not willing to. It's just that I'm not sure what else----8 9 MS. BEAUREGARD: We've had it 10 on the agenda ever since our TAC came back to life, and the only response that we've gotten to date has 11 12 been about physical accessibility in the building. 13 So, I think that it is worth 14 making he recommendation. And, then, Arthur, if you can follow up in writing with anything else that you 15 16 want to share with Sharley to get a response 17 specifically, but I have this in writing and I'm ready to make that a recommendation. 18 19 MR. CAMPBELL (By Interpreter:) 20 I want to say one more thing. I'm not asking for 21 myself because I won't be around much longer but it 22 is a moral issue.

23

24

25

MS. HUGHES: Well, I'm going to disagree with you on one thing. I bet you might be around longer than me.

MS. COLLINS: I think he
crafted that in a way that I think it addresses the
issues.

MS. BEAUREGARD: It could be a broad policy change.

MR. SHANNON: I think it's in the spirit. Whether it's in the language of the ADA, it's clearly to the spirit of participation in the process and there ought to be something that we should be able to resolve for a lot of people.

MS. BEAUREGARD: Yes, I agree with that. We don't even have ADA in this. It really goes beyond that, like you said, but it could be that the ADA governs this.

 $$\operatorname{MS.}$ COLLINS: P&A does believe that the ADA requires DMS to be able to provide those accommodations.

MS. BEAUREGARD: And I do think the video conferencing is one option, but, again, Arthur may have the accessibility for video conferencing but that doesn't automatically mean that everyone else would that has a disability. You could still need some type of personal assistant or interpreter, and I would like you to have a chance to maybe talk a little bit more about what this

policy is. But as I read your email, it sounds like DMS or the Cabinet aren't going to in any way be responsible for the video conferencing. So, it's really on the TACs---

 $\label{eq:MR.SHANNON:} \text{So, you would}$ have to arrange that.

MS. BEAUREGARD: ----to arrange it and, then, to make sure that TAC members were able to access it. Do you have something else to say about that?

MR. CAMPBELL (By Interpreter:)
I appreciate people who think about video
conferencing, but I am a civil rights worker and, to
me, that is a slap in the face because it is like
telling me to go in the back to be served while the
rest of them go in the front door. That is what I
am asking.

MS. HUGHES: Arthur, the video conferencing was not just for you all. Every TAC was asking because, like, for instance, the Physicians TAC, in order for the physicians to come to the TAC meeting, they were being out of their office, some of them all day long. So, basically, they were losing the ability to serve their patients while they were coming to Frankfort to attend the

meeting.

So, they were wanting to be able to do video conferencing to call in and to attend the meeting because some of the people on the TACs, we've got some that live in the far eastern part of the state and some that live in the far west.

We've got a couple that actually come in the day before and spend the night, come to the meeting the next day, then have to drive back home. So, they're missing a day and a half to come to a couple-of-hour TAC meeting.

So, the video conference, I don't want you to think that we were saying that that was a way for disabled people to attend a meeting. That was actually being sought out as a way for TAC members to attend the meeting.

MR. CAMPBELL (By Interpreter:)
But the A.G. said it will work?

MS. HUGHES: Yes. The
Attorney General has said that any of the TACs-anybody that wants to do video teleconferencing,
what they were actually specifically asking is would
they be able to do it based on some of the newer
technology like - and, Lord, I'm not an electronic

person here - but I think it was like Zoom and Skype and those type of things, if that would count as----

MS. BEAUREGARD: Well, and I just want to be clear. The video conferencing does not resolve our concerns about ADA compliance. So, it is one other way that people can participate but that does not make it accessible for everyone to participate.

And, so, while I think that we may want to do video conferencing just for general participation in the future, it is not the solution that we're looking for.

So, I agree, if that's what you're saying, Arthur.

MR. CAMPBELL: Yes.

MS. BEAUREGARD: That this should be seen as a separate issue.

MS. HUGHES: It is. That was never our intent was for that to imply that that's how disabled individuals should attend. And I think we've said in the past that we understand that sometimes — and just so you all know.

Our Secretary did send out an email to all employees in our building because apparently there have been some employees parking in

1 the visitor parking spots up here making it 2 difficult when visitors come here. So, there has 3 been an email that basically said if you park up there, so, that has come out. 4 5 But if that lot is full, then, 6 that obviously means that it's more difficult for 7 someone who is disabled to get in to our building 8 because, then, your next parking lot is a level 9 lower. And if that's the case, we are more than 10 happy to meet anybody down at the employee entrance and help get them in from down there. 11 12 MS. BEAUREGARD: I think that 13 you've made that very clear - I appreciate it - and 14 Stephanie Bates had put that in writing. So, as far as building accessibility, I think that DMS has 15 16 done----17 MS. HUGHES: We have been waiting because I'm not real sure what else other 18 19 than providing an interpreter that we can possibly 20 do. 21 MR. CAMPBELL (By Interpreter:) 22 You will receive my email. 23 MS. HUGHES: I look forward to

MS. BEAUREGARD: Let's move

24

25

it, Arthur.

forward with the recommendation because I want to make sure that it does go to the MAC.

And, then, in terms of video conferencing, maybe, Camille, you and I can talk about potentially having our meetings in the future at your location if that's still a possibility.

MS. HUGHES: And one other thing that I wanted to kind of explain about that, I went to a TAC meeting last week in the Public Health Building. Every time I've gone in to that conference room, there's always been a phone for me to use for the dial-in access. We get ready to start the meeting and there was no phone for me to even use.

If there's equipment issues, even for us, they get something - like I said, I'm not an electronic guru - they get something over the weekend that created issues with us logging in on our computers to certain drives, we have to call the COT Help Desk and they take those calls in the order that they are received.

So, we can't guarantee if we get to a TAC meeting that, number one, the equipment is going to be there because if somebody needs it somewhere else, they've come and got it and took it.

So, that's the reason we're saying----

MS. BEAUREGARD: I understand DMS' position on it. I think we can accept that and move on. And if there are alternative locations, I think that's probably the best thing for us to explore.

Let's go on to our recommendations. I know we're getting short on time. The first recommendation is going to be what Arthur has proposed.

So, the Consumer TAC recommends that DMS provide a written policy on paying or providing appropriate accommodations for people with disabilities to allow them to fully participate in meetings as a person serving in an advisory capacity. I guess Arthur has proposed it, so, can I get a second?

MS. BROWN: Yes.

MS. BEAUREGARD: All right.

All in favor? Any opposed? Great.

I have some other ideas for some recommendations. One is that DMS share consumer communications about new or changing programs and policies with the Consumer TAC for our input.

1	MS. BROWN: I'll second that.
2	MS. BEAUREGARD: Does that
3	sound good as I stated it?
4	MS. BROWN: Yes.
5	MS. BEAUREGARD: So, Miranda
6	seconds. All in favor? Any opposed. Okay. Great.
7	MR. CAMPBELL (By Interpreter:)
8	The only problem is that Medicaid said they wouldn't
9	do that.
10	MS. BEAUREGARD: And DMS may
11	still say that. It's just a recommendation.
12	MR. CAMPBELL (By Interpreter):
13	Okay. I understand. I'm only saying what they said
14	but we need that information.
15	MS. BEAUREGARD: I agree.
16	It's optional, I think, for DMS to do it. I don't
17	think there's anything that would require DMS to
18	share that information with us but I think it would
19	be a useful way for us to have input and make those
20	communications more effective for Medicaid's
21	benefit.
22	MR. SHANNON: And it may solve
23	some problems.
24	MS. BEAUREGARD: Yes, and
25	prevent problems more than even solve them. So,

that's the thinking behind it.

The next one that I jotted down is that DMS get CMS approval in writing regarding the KI-HIPP cost-sharing for in-network ESI providers who do not take Medicaid, also upfront premium payment requirement and whether being disenrolled from KI-HIPP or losing Medicaid eligibility must be considered a qualifying event, so that we get in writing from CMS their opinion or approval related to those pieces of KI-HIPP.

Does anyone want to second that recommendation?

MR. CAMPBELL: Aye.

MS. BEAUREGARD: Okay.

Arthur. Thank you. All in favor, say aye. Opposed, aye. Okay. It passes.

And, then, I will save something about copays until our next meeting after we've had a chance to do a little bit more digging into potential providers that might be the problem providers.

And, then, the same with EMT unless you have thoughts on that, Miranda, since we need to kind of go back and look at the recommendation.

1	MS. BROWN: I agree that we
2	should save that also.
3	MS. BEAUREGARD: Do either of
4	you, Arthur or Miranda, have other thoughts on
5	recommendations?
6	MS. BROWN: I want to clarify
7	a previous recommendation that we made. We had
8	previously recommended that all written
9	communication that a person receives be in their
10	requested language but also provided in English for
11	the purpose of consumer assistance.
12	And, then, DMS' response did
13	not really seem like they understood why we were
14	requesting that. So, I thought we should revise
15	that recommendation.
16	MS. BEAUREGARD: Yes, let's do
17	that. Do you have language?
18	MS. BROWN: So, I think it
19	should say that a person receive information in
20	their requested language and alsoI think what we
21	need to clarify is the part about written
22	communication, that any notice
23	MS. BEAUREGARD: Received
24	written
25	MS. BROWN: That any written

1	notice that a
2	MS. BEAUREGARD: That written
3	notices are provided in the requestedthat when
4	written notices are provided
5	MS. BROWN:are provided
6	in the requested language other than English, that
7	they also be provided in English so that Cabinet
8	staff and application assisters can fully understand
9	the communication. Does that make sense?
10	MS. BEAUREGARD: Yes. We
11	recommend that DMS provide written notices and
12	the
13	MR. SHANNON: Or when written
14	notices are requested.
15	MS. BEAUREGARD: In the
16	requested language, as well as English. And,
17	Sharley, do you understand what we're recommending
18	because this was a point of confusion before. I'm
19	not sure who responded to that particular
20	recommendation.
21	MS. HUGHES: What was the
22	response?
23	MS. BROWN: The response was
24	that communication is sent to members that is

available online in both English and Spanish like

open enrollment packets but that's not what we are asking about. We're asking that any notice that a consumer receives like an eligibility notice or an RFI, any notice, that if they receive it in Spanish, for instance, that they also receive it in English or that it's also generated in English so that anybody assisting them can fully understand the notice as well, whether or not they understand that language.

MS. HUGHES: I mean, we'll have to check. I don't know if the system can do that. They can get it in English but, then, they can't understand it. So, I'm not sure how the system--you can just make the recommendation and we'll have to respond.

MS. BEAUREGARD: Knowing what the system is capable of doing would be helpful, but I think that it was maybe misunderstood the first time. So, the problem is that when Miranda is assisting somebody who speaks another language, if they requested notices in Spanish or French----

 $$\operatorname{MS.}$$ BROWN: You can only get the notice in Spanish actually.

MS. BEAUREGARD: ----or any language, so, in Spanish, that the notice also come

with an English translation or just the original 2 English version. 3 MS. BROWN: Even when I understand because I speak Spanish, if I talk to somebody at DCBS, they'll pull up the notice. 6 They'll be like, well, what does the notice say and 7 I'll tell them what it says and they will pull it up and they'll be, like, well, I can't read this. MS. BEAUREGARD: So, even state workers aren't able to. So, I have that we 10 recommend that DMS provide written notices in the 11 12 recipient's requested language as well as English so 13 that anyone assisting that individual can read the 14 notice in English. Does that sound correct? MS. BROWN: I think it's more 15 16 clear than the last time. 17 MS. BEAUREGARD: We can change 18 it. 19 MS. BROWN: I wasn't sure if 20 we should clarify Cabinet staff and application 21 assisters. I guess it can be more broad than that, I'm not sure. 22 too, such as.

1

4

5

8

9

23

24

25

I'm so much MS. BEAUREGARD: better at writing it out and thinking about it, but we recommend that DMS provide written notices in the

1	recipient's requested language as well as English so
2	that anyone assisting the individual, and, then, you
3	can put in parenthesis, such as a state worker or
4	application assister, can read the notice in
5	English.
6	MS. BROWN: Yes.
7	MS. BEAUREGARD: Can I get a
8	second?
9	MR. CAMPBELL: Aye.
10	MS. BEAUREGARD: Thank you,
11	Arthur. And, then, all in favor? Anyone opposed?
12	That one passes.
13	Arthur, did you have any other
14	recommendations?
15	MR. CAMPBELL: No.
16	MS. BEAUREGARD: Thank you,
17	everyone.
18	Our next Consumer TAC meeting
19	is October 15th at 1:30. We do have it currently
20	scheduled to be here. If we can find a location,
21	potentially P&A, or if we can have video
22	conferencing just to make it easier for folks to
23	participate, we might do that; and if we do, we'll

make sure that information gets out.

24

meeting, just so everyone is aware, is September 26th at 10:00 in the Capitol Annex where it always is. We're adjourned. MEETING ADJOURNED